



Enviro Bulletin

This bulletin is designed to highlight new issues of importance in environmental law. We hope you will find it interesting and welcome your comments.

For more information, feel free to contact any of the lawyers who wrote or are quoted in these articles or any lawyers within the Environmental Law Practice Group:

Julia Anagnostakis
Direct 416.596.2894
janagnostakis@blaney.com

Janet Bobechko, Chair
Direct 416.596.2877
jbobechko@blaney.com

Ralph Cuervo-Lorens
Direct 416.593.2990
rcuervolorens@blaney.com

Marc Kemerer
Direct 416.593.2975
mkemerer@blaney.com

Bradley Philips
Direct 416.593.3940
bphilips@blaney.com

Roderick Winsor
Direct 416.593.3971
rwinsor@blaney.com

“Contamination problems in your general vicinity which touch on your property will now be your business even if you had nothing to do with how they came about.”

COMPLETELY INNOCENT PARTIES CAN BE ORDERED TO CLEAN-UP CONTAMINATION, SAYS RECENT ONTARIO COURT DECISION

Ralph Cuervo-Lorens

Ontario’s Divisional Court ruled recently that even if you are completely blameless you may still be ordered by the Ministry of the Environment to clean up contamination. Contamination problems in your general vicinity which touch on your property will now be your business even if you had nothing to do with how they came about.

In the case involving the City of Kawartha Lakes, a clean-up order under the *Environmental Protection Act* was issued by the Ministry of the Environment against the City requiring it to clean up and prevent further discharges of furnace oil which had affected City property. The oil originated in the basement of a house and entered the storm sewer system and culverts and reached a nearby lake.

While there was no issue that the City bore absolutely no responsibility for the release of the fuel oil or for its migration from the house, the Court upheld the order against the City. One thing that the City of Kawartha Lakes was not able to do was act early to stem the migration and prevent further harm to the environment (ignoring whose fault the problem had been).

Had they done so, the outcome may well have been different.

This case should be of particular concern to municipalities whose lands very often are incidentally affected by migrating contamination. In light of the decision, municipalities should gear up to keep close tabs on known contamination issues in lands within their boundaries as well as on ongoing Ministry activity in the area, particularly in cases where there is some question as to the financial means of the polluting party.

This decision certainly appears to go counter to the “polluter pays” principle, endorsed by the highest court in the land. The difference here is that the section of the *Environmental Protection Act* under which the order was made (section 157.1, which allows for preventive measures orders at the discretion of the Ministry depending on individual circumstances to be issued against the person with control of the property) is really an “owner pays” mechanism. As such, the Court ruled, the Ministry can issue an order under this section to anyone involved with contamination without regard to who caused it. Fortunately for innocent property owners, fairness is not altogether out of the picture although it will now be entirely up to the Ministry to decide whether fairness considerations will be a factor when issuing orders of this type.

This case makes it imperative that property own-



Ralph Cuervo-Lorens is a member of Blaney McMurtry's Environmental Law Group. He regularly advises mining, pulp and paper, property developers, energy, utility and manufacturing clients on a full range of environmental and regulatory matters.

Ralph has acted as Settlement Counsel in contaminated land multi-party litigation and has appeared at trial and on appeal matters before the Environmental Review Tribunal.

Ralph may be reached directly at 416.593.2990 or rcuervolorens@blaney.com.

ers consider putting in place specific insurance coverage against contamination impacts on their lands from adjacent or nearby properties and that potential buyers of any kind of real estate conduct their due diligence with this expanded regulatory risk very much in mind. ■

EXPECT THE BEST

**Blaney
McMurtry**
BARRISTERS & SOLICITORS LLP

2 Queen St. East, Suite 1500
Toronto, Canada M5C 3G5
416.593.1221 TEL
416.593.5437 FAX
www.blaney.com

Blaney's Enviro Bulletin is a publication of the Environmental Law Group of Blaney McMurtry LLP. The information contained in this newsletter is intended to provide information and comment, in a general fashion, about recent cases and related practice points of interest. The information and views expressed are not intended to provide legal advice.

We welcome your comments. Address changes, mailing instructions or requests for additional copies should be directed to Kylie Aramini at 416.593.7221 ext. 3600 or by email to karamini@blaney.com. Legal questions should be addressed to the specified author.