



# Employment Update

## EMPLOYMENT AND LABOUR GROUP:

Maria Kotsopoulos  
(Co-Editor)  
Direct 416.593.2987  
mkotsopoulos@blaney.com

Christopher McClelland  
(Co-Editor)  
Direct 416.597.4882  
cmcclelland@blaney.com

William D. Anderson, Chair  
Direct 416.593.3901  
banderson@blaney.com

Elizabeth J. Forster  
Direct 416.593.3919  
eforster@blaney.com

Mark E. Geiger  
Direct 416.593.3926  
mgeiger@blaney.com

David E. Greenwood  
Direct 416.596.2879  
dgreenwood@blaney.com

Michael J. Penman  
Direct 416.593.3966  
mpenman@blaney.com

D. Barry Prentice  
Direct 416.593.3953  
bprentice@blaney.com

Jack B. Siegel  
Direct 416.593.2958  
jsiegel@blaney.com

## IN THIS ISSUE:

Summer Fun, Fall Lawsuit  
David E. Greenwood

Blanays Blogs  
Blaney McMurtry LLP  
Blanays Podcast  
Blaney McMurtry LLP

*“Employers can be held liable for unfortunate acts which occur at these social events, even if the acts were completely unexpected, unapproved or arguably beyond the employer’s ability to prevent.”*

## SUMMER FUN, FALL LAWSUIT

David E. Greenwood

Employers often express their appreciation to employees by hosting events such as seasonal parties, barbecues or other social events. While the employer’s intentions may be good, the result isn’t always a reflection of those good intentions.

Employers can be held liable for unfortunate acts which occur at these social events, even if the acts were completely unexpected, unapproved or arguably beyond the employer’s ability to prevent. A case in point is *K.L. v. Calypso Water Park Inc.*, 2015 ONSC 2417 (CanLII).

In this case, Calypso held an end-of-season staff appreciation event at its Ottawa-area waterpark. The event was intended to be a fun get-together for staff. Unfortunately it allegedly became anything but fun for the Plaintiff, K.L., who was a 19 year old female employed in the park’s maintenance department.

K.L. alleged that throughout the summer, her supervisor, a co-defendant in the action, had made sexual advances towards her. At the end-of-season party, it is alleged that her supervisor acted on those advances and sexually assaulted K.L. K.L. sued her supervisor and Calypso for sexual

harassment, sexual assault, assault, battery, false imprisonment, and intentional and/or negligent infliction of mental suffering.

K.L. alleged that Calypso was liable for all of the actions committed by the supervisor and sought significant financial compensation. Calypso brought a motion to strike K.L.’s action against it (and related corporations) on the basis that the claim failed to disclose a reasonable cause of action.

At the motion, the judge determined, based on prior precedent, that there is no cause of action in Ontario for sexual harassment and struck that claim against Calypso. However, the judge found that the other claims against Calypso had some chance of success and allowed those matters to proceed to trial.

Some of the key allegations of fact which the judge used to support his decision were the following:

- The assault took place during an unsupervised work function;
- The assault occurred on workplace property;
- The employer was either supplying or permitting the unsupervised and unregulated consumption of alcohol; and

## EMPLOYMENT UPDATE

*“When hosting these types of events, employers need to be mindful of employee safety.”*



David E. Greenwood has represented clients in files involving wrongful dismissals, constructive dismissals, human rights complaints, pension issues, disability claims, allegations of employee fraud, theft of confidential and proprietary information, breach of fiduciary duties and misappropriation of corporate opportunities. Additionally, David is frequently consulted in respect of reorganizations and mass terminations and is routinely retained to draft or to negotiate employment agreements, employee policy manuals and other employment related contracts.

David may be reached directly at 416.596.2879 or [dgreenwood@blaney.com](mailto:dgreenwood@blaney.com).

- The park was expansive and it was conceivable that K.L. may find herself alone with the supervisor.

Clearly no employer would condone the conduct which is alleged to have occurred at this event. However, that does not mean the employer has no liability for those acts. As noted by the Supreme Court of Canada in *Bazley v. Curry*, [1999] 2 S.C.R. 534:

Underlying the cases holding employers vicariously liable for the unauthorized acts of employees is the idea that employers may justly be held liable where the act falls within the ambit of the risk that the employer's enterprise creates or exacerbates. Similarly, the policy purposes underlying the imposition of vicarious liability on employers are served only where the wrong is so connected with the employment that it can be said that the employer has introduced the risk of the wrong (and is thereby fairly and usefully charged with its management and minimization). The question in each case is whether there is a connection or nexus between the employment enterprise and that wrong that justifies imposition of vicarious liability on the employer for the wrong, in terms of fair allocation of the consequences of the risk and/or deterrence.

When hosting these types of events, employers need to be mindful of employee safety. Some of the things employers ought to keep in mind:

- Make sure the venue is one where it is unlikely that a small number of employees can go to be unseen (i.e. “blind spots” where trouble may occur);
- Limit the consumption of alcohol by employees;
- Appoint senior managers to supervise the event or hire a third party to supervise (i.e. bartender or security);
- Make sure that all attendees have a safe way home (free cab chits, transit or bus service); and
- Make sure the event does not take place at a location where there can be a higher than usual risk of personal injury. ■

## BLANEYS BLOGS

### Blaney McMurtry LLP

Be sure to follow our regularly updated blogs, published by the Firm and individual lawyers, covering a variety of topics:

**Blaneys@Work** examines recent events and decisions in the world of labour and employment law. [[blaneysatwork.com](http://blaneysatwork.com)]

**Henry J. Chang's Canada-US Immigration Blog** covers recent decisions, legislative changes and news related to Canada and US immigration. [[www.americanlaw.com/immigrationblog/](http://www.americanlaw.com/immigrationblog/)]

**Blaneys Ontario Court of Appeal Summaries** (Blaneys OCA Blog) offers weekly summaries of all decisions released by the Court of Appeal for Ontario (other than criminal law decisions). [[blaneyscourtsummaries.com](http://blaneyscourtsummaries.com)]

## EMPLOYMENT UPDATE

**Blaneys Fidelity Blog** provides updates on recent developments in fidelity insurance in Canada and the United States, and covers other topics of interest to fidelity insurers. [[blaneysfidelityblog.com](http://blaneysfidelityblog.com)]

**Blaneys on Target** provides general information to creditors and other persons interested in the Target insolvency and its CCAA proceedings. [[blaneystargetccaa.com/updates/](http://blaneystargetccaa.com/updates/)] ■

## BLANEYS PODCAST

### Blaney McMurtry LLP

Blaneys Podcasts are available for download at [www.blaney.com/podcast](http://www.blaney.com/podcast). Topics to date include Powers of Attorney, Canada's Anti-Spam Legislation, Termination of Employment, Workplace Harassment, Family Law, Succession Planning and Target Canada's Insolvency Proceedings. In the newest episode of the Blaneys Podcast, our resident privacy expert, Dina Maxwell, discusses the implications and privacy concerns raised by Canada's proposed Bill C-51 (*Anti-Terrorism Act, 2015*), which is expected to become law as early as June, 2015.

New podcasts continue to be posted so check back regularly for the latest topic. Podcasts are also available for download on [iTunes](#). ■

EXPECT THE BEST

**Blaney  
McMurtry**  
BARRISTERS & SOLICITORS LLP

2 Queen St. East, Suite 1500  
Toronto, Canada M5C 3G5  
416.593.1221 TEL  
416.593.5437 FAX  
[www.blaney.com](http://www.blaney.com)

*Employment Update* is a publication of the Employment and Labour Law Group of Blaney McMurtry LLP. The information contained in this newsletter is intended to provide information and comment, in a general fashion, about recent cases and related practice points of interest. The views and comments contained in this newsletter are those of the author alone, and do not necessarily reflect the views of Blaney McMurtry LLP or other members of the firm. The information and views expressed are not intended to provide legal advice. For specific advice, please contact us.

We welcome your comments. Address changes, mailing instructions or requests for additional copies should be directed to Kelly MacNeil at 416 593.7221 ext. 3600 or by email to [kmacneil@blaney.com](mailto:kmacneil@blaney.com). Legal questions should be addressed to the specified author.