



# Employment Update

## EMPLOYMENT AND LABOUR GROUP:

Maria Kotsopoulos  
(Editor)  
Direct 416.593.2987  
mkotsopoulos@blaney.com

William D. Anderson, Chair  
Direct 416.593.3901  
banderson@blaney.com

Elizabeth J. Forster  
Direct 416.593.3919  
eforster@blaney.com

Mark E. Geiger  
Direct 416.593.3926  
mgeiger@blaney.com

David E. Greenwood  
Direct 416.596.2879  
dgreenwood@blaney.com

Catherine Longo  
Direct 416.593.2998  
clongo@blaney.com

Christopher McClelland  
Direct 416.597.4882  
cmcclelland@blaney.com

Michael J. Penman  
Direct 416.593.3966  
mpenman@blaney.com

D. Barry Prentice  
Direct 416.593.3953  
bprentice@blaney.com

Jack B. Siegel  
Direct 416.593.2958  
jsiegel@blaney.com

*“Notwithstanding the importance of confidentiality, employers often express concern that these provisions are not enforceable.”*

## CONFIDENTIALITY - IT DOES MEAN SOMETHING AFTER ALL!

Elizabeth J. Forster

When an employer settles a severance issue with a former employee, it is common for the employer to put a clause in the settlement agreement that provides that the former employee will keep the terms of settlement confidential and not disclose them except to family members, legal and financial advisors or as otherwise required by law.

Employers may insist on confidentiality for many reasons but the most common reason is that they do not want the amount they paid to one employee to influence the expectations of other employees on termination.

Notwithstanding the importance of confidentiality, employers often express concern that these provisions are not enforceable.

A recent case involving Jan Wong, a well-known author and former reporter for the *Globe & Mail*, illustrates that these clauses can be enforced and what can happen if a former employee breaches a confidentiality clause.

Jan Wong filed a grievance through her union claiming that she had been terminated from the *Globe & Mail* without cause. After protracted negotiations, her grievance was settled on September 24, 2008.

The settlement agreement provided that neither party would disclose the terms of the settlement to anyone other than their legal and financial advisors, Manulife, and the grievor's immediate family.

The settlement agreement also contained a clause that stated that if an arbitrator found that Ms. Wong breached the confidentiality provisions, she was obliged to repay the full amount of the settlement.

In 2012, Ms. Wong published a book entitled *Out of the Blue* which described her battle with depression while employed by the *Globe & Mail*.

The *Globe & Mail* alleged that in the book Ms. Wong violated the confidentiality provisions of the settlement by disclosing that she received a payment as part of the settlement, that she had been successful in the settlement and that there was a confidentiality agreement. It therefore sought an order from the arbitrator requiring Ms. Wong to pay back the full amount of the settlement.

The arbitrator found that Ms. Wong violated the confidentiality provision by making the following comments:

“... I can't disclose the amount of money I received.”

“I had just been paid a pile of money to go away...”

## EMPLOYMENT UPDATE



Elizabeth J. Forster represents employers, trade unions and employees. She has been involved in hearings before the Ontario Labour Relations Board, grievance arbitrations, collective agreement negotiations, Human Rights cases, and prosecutions under Occupational Health and Safety Act. Elizabeth's work also includes wrongful dismissal actions, actions for breach of fiduciary duties and other employment and employee issues as well as labour-related actions. She advises clients on employment contracts, employment policies, non-competition and confidentiality agreements and employee pension and benefit-related issues.

Elizabeth can be reached at 416.593.3919 or eforster@blaney.com.

EXPECT THE BEST

**Blaney  
McMurtry**  
BARRISTERS & SOLICITORS LLP

2 Queen St. East, Suite 1500  
Toronto, Canada M5C 3G5  
416.593.1221 TEL  
416.593.5437 FAX  
www.blaney.com

“Two weeks later a big fat cheque landed in my account.”

“Even with a vastly swollen bank account...”

Ms. Wong's evidence was that she felt that the settlement agreement allowed her to disclose that she had received a payment from the *Globe & Mail* and that she was only prohibited from disclosing the amount of the payment.

The arbitrator disagreed. The arbitrator noted that parties settle cases for many different reasons that may have nothing to do with any admission of liability. Non-disclosure and no admission of liability clauses recognize this fact and must be respected to encourage the settlement of cases. The arbitrator therefore ordered Ms. Wong to repay the full amount of the settlement.

In most cases there remains a problem of proving that one party has breached a confidentiality clause. There are few cases where the other party takes some step as public as publishing a book. However, this case is a reminder that parties should comply with the non-disclosure provisions of their settlements and the severe consequences that may result if they do not. ■

*Employment Update* is a publication of the Employment and Labour Law Group of Blaney McMurtry LLP. The information contained in this newsletter is intended to provide information and comment, in a general fashion, about recent cases and related practice points of interest. The information and views expressed are not intended to provide legal advice. For specific advice, please contact us.

We welcome your comments. Address changes, mailing instructions or requests for additional copies should be directed to Kylie Aramini at 416 593.7221 ext. 3600 or by email to karamini@blaney.com. Legal questions should be addressed to the specified author.